Portland Harbor RI/FS

Pre-Feasibility Study Treatment Technologies Table
Draft
June 5, 2009

Table 1. Preliminary Screening of Ex Situ Treatment Technologies.

Table 1. Hellininary	Sercenning of Ex Situ	Effective			Implementability			
		Effective	uess	1	<u>ттрешенарту</u>	·		
Treatment	Site COCs	Level of	Demonstrated	Time to		Compatible GRA or		
	Addressed	Demonstration ¹	Effectiveness	achieve goals	Considerations	Pre-treatment		
Technology PO	Addressed	Demonstration	Effectiveness	Tuchieve gouls	Considerations	1 10-ti catinent		
Pre-treatment				_		T		
In-barge Dewatering	N.A.	Full-scale	Moderate to High	Days	BMPs are necessary to ensure water quality impacts are minimized.	Dredging – mechanical		
				Months		·		
				(depending on	Large staging areas are required within close proximity to the project. Dewatering			
				climatic	could take several months depending on the percentage of fine sediment present and			
Lagoon Dewatering	N.A.	Full-scale	High	conditions)	amount of precipitation occurring.	Dredging - hydraulic		
						!		
					Moderate to large staging areas are required within close proximity to the project.			
Geotextile Tube				Weeks to	Dewatering could take several months depending on the percentage of fine sediment	Dredging – hydraulic (mechanical		
Dewatering	N.A.	Full-scale	Moderate to High	Months	present. BMPs may be necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized.	would require slurrying)		
Mechanical					Regular equipment maintenance is required. BMPs may be necessary to ensure air	Dredging – mechanical or		
Dewatering	N.A.	Full-scale	High	Days	quality impacts are minimized.	hydraulic		
Reagent Dewatering	N.A.	Full-scale	Moderate to High	Days	BMPs may be necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized.	Dredging – mechanical		
Biological Methods								
					Large staging areas are required within close proximity to the project. BMPs may	·		
	·				be necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized. If air quality impacts are			
	·		, i		expected, a contained biological PO may be more appropriate. BMPs are also			
				Months to	necessary to control contaminant migration from runoff. Bench-scale testing would	·		
Land Treatment	TPH and PAHs	Full-scale	Low to High	Years	be required during design.	Dredging – dewatered		
		•			Large staging areas are required within close proximity to the project. BMPs may			
					be necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized. If air quality impacts are			
					expected, a contained biological PO may be more appropriate. BMPs are also			
· ·				Months to	necessary to control contaminant migration from runoff. Bench-scale testing would			
Composting	PAHs	Full-scale	Low to High	Years	be required during design.	Dredging – dewatered		
					Regular equipment maintenance is required. BMPs are necessary to ensure air			
	VOCs, SVOCs, and			Months to	quality impacts are minimized. Bench-scale testing would be required during			
Biopiles	TPH	Full-scale	Low to High	Years	design.	Dredging – dewatered		
					Regular equipment maintenance is required. BMPs are necessary to ensure air			
					quality impacts are minimized. Moisture control is necessary to ensure consistent			
Slurry-phase	·				slurry concentrations are treated. Process water requires treatment and disposal.	Dredging – hydraulic (mechanical		
Treatment	VOCs and SVOCs	Full-scale	Low to High	Months	Bench-scale testing would be required during design.	would require slurrying)		



Table 1. Preliminary Screening of Ex Situ Treatment Technologies.

•		Effective	ness		Implementability		
Treatment Technology PO	Site COCs Addressed	Level of Demonstration ¹	Demonstrated Time to Effectiveness achieve go		Considerations	Compatible GRA or Pre-treatment	
Physical/Chemical N	1ethods						
Particle Separation	Metals and organics	Full-scale	Moderate to High	Days	Regular equipment maintenance is required. BMPs may be necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized.	Dredging - hydraulic	
Blending	Low level metals and organics	Full-scale	High	Days	BMPs may be necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized.	Dredging – mechanical (hydraulic would require dewatering)	
Cement S/S	Metals and select organics	Full-scale	Moderate to High	Days to Weeks	BMPs are necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized.	Dredging – mechanical (hydraulic would require dewatering)	
Sorbent Clay S/S	Select organics	Bench-scale	Moderate to High	Days to Weeks	BMPs are necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized.	Dredging – mechanical (hydraulic would require dewatering)	
Asphalt Emulsion	Metals and organics	Bench-scale	Low to Moderate	Days	BMPs are necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized.	Dredging – mechanical (hydraulic would require dewatering)	
Sediment Washing	Metals and organics	Limited Full-scale	Moderate to High	Days	Regular equipment maintenance is required. BMPs are necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized. Process water and residual wastes require treatment and disposal, which could significantly increase the overall cost of treatment. Bench-scale testing would be required during design.	Dredging – hydraulic (mechanical would require slurrying)	
Chemical Extraction	Organics	Pilot-scale	Moderate to High	Days	Regular equipment maintenance is required. BMPs are necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized. Process water and residual wastes require treatment and disposal, which could significantly increase the overall cost of treatment. Bench-scale testing would be required during design.	Dredging – hydraulic (mechanical would require slurrying)	
Chemical Oxidation/ Reduction	Metals and select organics	Pilot-scale	Moderate	Days	Regular equipment maintenance is required. BMPs are necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized. Process water and residual wastes require treatment and disposal, which could significantly increase the overall cost of treatment. Bench-scale testing would be required during design.	Dredging – mechanical or hydraulic	
Dehalogenation	Chlorinated Organics	Pilot-scale	Moderate to High	Days	Regular equipment maintenance is required. BMPs are necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized. Process water and residual wastes require treatment and disposal, which could significantly increase the overall cost of treatment. Bench-scale testing would be required during design.	Dredging – mechanical (hydraulic would require dewatering)	

Table 1. Preliminary Screening of Ex Situ Treatment Technologies.

	Screening of Lx Situ	Effective			Implementability				
		Ellective	1033	T	Implementatinty				
Treatment Technology PO	Site COCs Addressed	Level of Demonstration ¹	Demonstrated Effectiveness	Time to achieve goals	Considerations	Compatible GRA or Pre-treatment			
Thermal Methods									
Incineration	Volatile metals and organics	Full-scale	• High	Days	BMPs are necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized. Nearest existing, permitted facility is greater than 500 miles from project. High energy consumption. Potential for dioxin generation is a concern.	Dredging – mechanical (hydraulic would require dewatering)			
Pyrolysis	Organics	Pilot-scale	High	Days	BMPs are necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized. High energy consumption. Very low moisture content of feedstock sediment is required. Potential for dioxin generation is a concern.	Dredging – mechanical (hydraulic would require dewatering)			
Thermal Desorption	PCBs, PAHs, VOCs, sVOCs, and Pesticides	Pilot-scale	Moderate to High	Days	BMPs are necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized. High energy consumption; however, costs may be offset through the sale/use of generated power. Pre-permitting consultation and acceptance of BU products is crucial to economic viability of PO. Potential for dioxin generation is a concern.	Dredging – mechanical (hydraulic would require dewatering)			
Vitrification	Metals and organics	Pilot-scale	High	Days	BMPs are necessary to ensure air quality impacts are minimized. High energy consumption; however, costs may be offset through the sale/use of generated power or alternative energy sources (e.g., recycled tires) are identified. Pre-permitting and acceptance of BU products is crucial to economic viability of PO. May be effective in stabilizing low concentration metals. Potential for dioxin generation is a concern.				

Notes:

- 1-Includes demonstrations performed on sediment; not inclusive of upland soil or sludge.
- 2-Low: <\$40 per cubic yard; Moderate: \$40 to \$80 per cubic yard; High: \$80 to \$160 per cubic yard; Very High: >\$160 per cubic yard
- 3-Lower end of cost scale is only achievable if marketable uses are identified to support end-use products. Further evaluation based on specific remedial alternatives is required.
- 4-Lower end of cost scale is only achievable if marketable uses are identified to support end-use products and energy cost offsets are identified. Further evaluation based on specific remedial alternatives is required.
- PO Process Option
- COCs Contaminants of Concern
- N.A. Not Applicable
- GRA general response action
- S/S solidification/stabilization
- cy cubic yard
- BU Beneficial Use
- FS Feasibility Study
- AOPC Area of Potential Concern

Table 1. Preliminary	Screening of E	x Situ Treatment Technologies.						
)		Cost Considerations		<u> </u>	Pre-FS Screening			
Treatment	Treatment $Cost^{2}$	Example BU Product; Material Disposition	Potential BU Product Demand	Determination	Rationale			
Technology PO	(per cy)	Water fai Disposition	Be froduct Demand	Determination	Kattottaic			
Pre-treatment				T				
In-barge Dewatering	Low	N.A.	N.A.	RETAINED	PO is regularly implemented at a relatively low cost.			
Lagoon Dewatering	Low	N.A.	N.A.	TENTATIVELY RETAINED	While this PO is regularly used to dewater sediment associated with maintenance dredging projects; these activities typically occur within permanent facilities. There is limited space available to site a facility within the project limits; however, in the event a suitable site is identified this PO was tentatively retained.			
Geotextile Tube Dewatering	Low to Moderate	N.A.	N.A.	TENTATIVELY RETAINED	PO is tentatively retained although it is not regularly implemented in comparison to the other Pre-treatment POs. Geotextile tube dewatering is most applicable to sandy sediments that are hydraulically dredged.			
Mechanical				·				
Dewatering	Low	N.A.	N.A	RETAINED	PO is regularly implemented at a relatively low cost.			
	Low to	1						
Reagent Dewatering	Moderate	N.A.	N.A.	RETAINED	PO is regularly implemented at a relatively low cost.			
Biological Methods								
		·			· ·			
Land Treatment	Low to Moderate	Regulated Fill; Industrial Sites, CDF, or Landfill Cover	Very low to low	TENTATIVELY RETAINED	PO has been successfully demonstrated on a full-scale basis, but potential siting issues must be overcome during the FS. This PO has been tentatively retained due to performance in addressing AOPC 11 COCs.			
	•			,				
[•		Although it is comparable to other POs, this PO is tentatively screened out because it results in a larger			
	Low to	Regulated Fill; Industrial Sites, CDF,	M1	TENTATIVELY	volume of treated material that may require disposal (e.g., amendments such as wood chips or vegetative			
Composting .	Moderate	or Landfill Cover	Very low to low	SCREENED OUT	waste).			
Biopiles	Low to Moderate	Regulated Fill; Industrial Sites, CDF, or Landfill Cover	Very low to low	TENTATIVELY SCREENED OUT	Although it is comparable to other POs, this PO is tentatively screened out because implementation is more complex than other similarly demonstrated technologies. In the event an enclosed process is desirable, then biopiles may be reconsidered in the FS.			
			<u> </u>					
Slurry-phase Treatment	Moderate	Regulated Fill; Industrial Sites, CDF, or Landfill Cover	Very low to low	TENTATIVELY SCREENED OUT	Although it is comparable to other POs, this PO is tentatively screened out because it results in a larger waste volume (i.e., process water) and will likely be a more costly PO due to moisture control requirements during treatment.			

Table 1. Preliminary	Screening of E	x Situ Treatment Technologies.	-				
		Cost Considerations		Pre-FS Screening			
Treatment Technology PO	Treatment Cost ² (per cy)	Example BU Product; Material Disposition	Potential BU Product Demand	Determination	Rationale		
Physical/Chemical M	lethods						
Particle Separation	Moderate	Sand/Rock; Potentially Unrestricted Uses	Relatively low demand for alternative aggregate.	RETAINED	PO is regularly implemented at a relatively low cost; however, final feasibility of implementing PO requires review of grain size data.		
Blending	Moderate to High	Sand/Rock; Potentially Unrestricted Uses	Relatively low demand for alternative aggregate.	TENTATIVELY RETAINED	PO is tentatively retained for use as part of a treatment train or as a finishing step prior to beneficial use. For example, treated sediment could be blended with compost to produce a manufactured topsoil. This PO may also be used to directly treat AOPCs with low-level COCs.		
Cement S/S	Low to Moderate ³	Regulated Fill; Industrial Sites or CDF	Very low to low	TENTATIVELY RETAINED	This PO is tentatively retained as it is a common method of stabilization that reduces the leachability of metals and select low-level organics. It is not applicable to all AOPCs as some site COCs, such as pesticides, can inhibit chemical bonding. The PO is also useful in enhancing geotechnical properties of the material for fill applications.		
Sorbent Clay S/S	Moderate	Regulated Fill; CDF	Very low to low	TENTATIVELY RETAINED	PO is tentatively retained as an ex situ method due to recent positive technology demonstrations; however, it is more likely this PO would be implemented in situ. It is likely to have limited applicability to most FS alternatives.		
Asphalt Emulsion	Low to Moderate ³	Asphalt; Industrial Sites	Very limited	TENTATIVELY SCREENED OUT	PO is tentatively screened out based on additionally discussions with technology vendors and limited demonstrated effectiveness on sediment and site COCs.		
Sediment Washing	Moderate to High ³	Potential for Clean Fill; Topsoil Feedstock Regulated Fill; Industrial Sites, CDF, or Landfill Cover	Relatively low demand for topsoil. Regulated fill has very low demand.	TENTATIVELY RETAINED	Based on review of new literature and discussions with technology vendors, PO is tentatively retained. It is likely that this PO would be implemented as part of a treatment train, rather than a stand-alone technology. Additional evaluation of specific FS alternatives is required to determine the economic viability of this PO and the potential generation of large residual waste volumes.		
Chemical Extraction	High	Potential for Clean Fill; Topsoil Feedstock Regulated Fill; Industrial Sites, CDF, or Landfill Cover	Relatively low demand for topsoil. Regulated fill has very low demand.	TENTATIVELY SCREENED OUT	Although its effectiveness is comparable to other POs on the bench-scale, this PO is tentatively screened out because it is less demonstrated on a full-scale basis than similar POs and may have limited effectiveness in treating PCBs.		
Chemical Oxidation/ Reduction	High to Very High	Potential for Clean Fill; Topsoil Feedstock Regulated Fill; Industrial Sites, CDF, or Landfill Cover	Relatively low demand for topsoil. Regulated fill has very low demand.	TENTATIVELY SCREENED OUT	PO is tentatively screened out due to limited demonstrated effectiveness in treating sediments and associated high costs. PO may also have limited effectiveness in treating PCBs.		
Dehalogenation	High to Very High	Potential for Clean Fill; Topsoil Feedstock Regulated Fill; Industrial Sites, CDF, or Landfill Cover	Relatively low demand for topsoil. Regulated fill has very low demand.	TENTATIVELY SCREENED OUT	PO is tentatively screened out due to limited full-scale demonstrated effectiveness in treating sediments and associated high costs.		

Pre-Feasibility Study Treatment Technologies Table
Draft

June 5, 2009

Table 1. Preliminary Screening of Ex Situ Treatment Technologies.

		Cost Considerations	,	Pre-FS Screening			
	Treatment				,		
Treatment	Cost ²	Example BU Product;	Potential				
Technology PO	(per cy)	Material Disposition	BU Product Demand	Determination	Rationale Rationale		
Thermal Methods							
		Clean Fill; Topsoil Feedstock					
			Relatively low demand for	,			
	High to Very	Regulated Fill; Industrial Sites, CDF,	topsoil. Regulated fill has	TENTATIVELY	While this PO is fairly well demonstrated, costs associated with the treatment and transportation to		
Incineration	High	or Landfill Cover	very low demand.	SCREENED OUT	treatment facilities are very high in comparison to other thermal POs.		
		Clean Fill; Topsoil Feedstock					
·			Relatively low demand for				
	High to Very	Regulated Fill; Industrial Sites, CDF,	topsoil. Regulated fill has	TENTATIVELY	While this PO is fairly well demonstrated, costs associated with the treatment and transportation to		
Pyrolysis	High	or Landfill Cover	very low demand.	SCREENED OUT	treatment facilities are very high in comparison to other thermal POs.		
			,		Although review of new literature and discussions with technology vendors indicate PO is advancing, this		
		Specialized Products and Fill; Non-	Relatively low demand for		PO is tentatively screened out in lieu of other potentially viable thermal POs that may have a lesser chance		
,	High-Range of	structural Concrete Aggregate or	specialized products/		for dioxin development. If re-retained during FS evaluations, additional evaluation of specific FS		
	Moderate to	Regulated Fill (Industrial Sites, CDF,	applications. Regulated fill	TENTATIVELY	alternatives is required to determine the economic viability of this PO and to consider public concerns		
Thermal Desorption	Very High ³	or Landfill Cover)	has very low demand.	SCREENED OUT	regarding air emissions and facility siting.		
Ì			,		·		
			·	•			
		Specialized Products and Fill; Non-	Relatively low demand for		Based on review of new literature and discussions with technology vendors, PO is tentatively retained.		
		structural Concrete Aggregate or	specialized products/		Additional evaluation of specific FS alternatives is required to determine the economic viability of this PO		
	Moderate to	Regulated Fill (Industrial Sites, CDF,	applications. Regulated fill	· TENTATIVELY	and to consider public concerns regarding air emissions and facility siting. Considerations regarding		
Vitrification	Very High⁴	or Landfill Cover)	has very low demand.	RETAINED	potential dioxin development must also be addressed during the FS.		

Notes:

- 1-Includes demonstrations performed on sediment; not inclusive of upland soil or sludge.
- 2-Low: <\$40 per cubic yard; Moderate: \$40 to \$80 per cubic yard; High: \$80 to \$160 per cubic yard; Very High: >\$160 per cubic yard
- 3-Lower end of cost scale is only achievable if marketable uses are identified to support end-use products. Further evaluation based on specific remedial alternatives is required.
- 4-Lower end of cost scale is only achievable if marketable uses are identified to support end-use products and energy cost offsets are identified. Further evaluation based on specific remedial alternatives is required.
- PO Process Option
- COCs Contaminants of Concern
- N.A. Not Applicable
- GRA general response action
- S/S solidification/stabilization
- cy cubic yard
- BU Beneficial Use
- FS Feasibility Study
- AOPC Area of Potential Concern

Pre-Feasibility Study Treatment Technologies Table
Draft

June 5, 2009

Table 2. Preliminary Screening of In Situ Treatment Technologies.

Table 2. I Tellilli	Effectiveness				Implementability		Cost		Pre-FS Screening	
Treatment Technology PO	Site COCs Addressed	Level of Demonstration 1	Demonstrated Effectiveness	Time to achieve goals	Considerations	Compatible GRA	Treatment Cost ² (per cy)	Determination	Rationale	
Biological/Chemi	ical		<u> </u>			<u> </u>				
Enhanced Bioremediation	PAHs and SVOCs	Pilot-scale	Moderate to High	Years	Treatment area is extensive; success is difficult to assess; does not treat all target COCs; high concentrations of chlorinated organics are toxic to beneficial microorganisms. Treatment area is extensive; success is difficult to assess;	Enhanced Natural Recovery	Low to Moderate	TENTATIVELY SCREENED OUT	PO is tentatively screened out due to limited demonstrated effectiveness in treating site COCs.	
Phytoremediation	Metals and select organics	Pilot-scale	Moderate to High	Years	ingestion of vegetation is difficult to control; PO only addresses surface sediments reached by plant root system.	Enhanced Natural Recovery	Low to Moderate	TENTATIVELY SCREENED OUT	PO is tentatively screened out due to limited demonstrated effectiveness in treating site COCs.	
Chemical Oxidation Contaminant Seq	Metals and organics	Pilot-scale	Moderate to High	Months	Treatment area is extensive; success is difficult to assess; may not treat all target COCs.	Enhanced Natural Recovery	High	TENTATIVELY SCREENED OUT	PO is tentatively screened out due to limited demonstrated effectiveness for sediments and associated high costs. PO may also have limited effectiveness in treating PCBs.	
In Situ S/S	Metals and select organics	Pilot-scale	Low to Moderate	Months	Minimal staging areas; PO typically consists of cement or pozzolanic stabilization. Substrate homogeneity is a concern.	In-situ Containment	High	TENTATIVELY SCREENED OUT	PO is tentatively screened out due to limited technology demonstration and effectiveness in treating site COCs.	
In Situ Vitrification	Metals and organics	Pilot-scale	Moderate	Months	Treatment area is extensive; moderate energy draw; success is difficult to assess; high temperature generated would likely cause water quality impacts; subsequent capping may be necessary to re-establish habitat substrate.	In-situ Containment	High to Very High	TENTATIVELY SCREENED OUT	PO is tentatively screened out due to limited demonstrated effectiveness in treating sediments and associated high costs.	
Electrochemical Remediation	Metals and select organics	Pilot-scale	Low to Moderate	Months	Treatment area is extensive; equipment requires significant maintenance; moderate energy draw; success is difficult to assess; presence of buried metallic or insulating debris can impede PO.	Enhanced Natural Recovery	Moderate to High	TENTATIVELY SCREENED OUT	PO is tentatively screened out due to limited technology demonstration and effectiveness in treating site COCs.	
In Situ Carbon Absorption	PCBs; potentially PAHs	Pilot-scale	Moderate to High	Months	Recent studies regarding the use of carbon-based reagents show effectiveness in adsorping PCBs. Substrate homogeneity is a concern.	Enhanced Natural Recovery	Low	RETAINED	Based on review of new literature and results of recent projects, PO is tentatively retained. Further FS alternatives evaluation is required.	
Enhanced Cap Materials	Metals and select organics	Pilot-scale	Moderate to High	Weeks	Minimal staging areas; maintenance of cap materials and periodic monitoring is required.	In-situ Containment	Low	RETAINED	Based on review of new literature and results of recent projects, PO is tentatively retained. Further FS alternatives evaluation is required.	

Notes:

- 1-Includes demonstrations performed on sediment; not inclusive of upland soil or sludge.
- 2-Low: <\$40 per cubic yard; Moderate: \$40 to \$80 per cubic yard; High: \$80 to \$160 per cubic yard; Very High: >\$160 per cubic yard
- 3-Lower end of cost scale is achievable if marketable uses are identified to support end-use products. Further evaluation based on specific remedial alternatives is required.
- 4-Lower end of cost scale is achievable if marketable uses are identified to support end-use products and credits for energy generation are received. Further evaluation based on specific remedial alternatives is required.
- PO Process Option

N.A. - Not Applicable

- GRA general response action
- BU Beneficial Use

- COCs Contaminants of Concern
- S/S solidification/stabilization cy cubic yard
- FS Feasibility Study
 AOPC Area of Potential Concern